



#### Botprobe - Reducing Network Threat Intelligence Big Data & Pre/Post Forensic Data

adrian.winckles@anglia.ac.uk



#### project background

PhD: "a botnet needle in a virtual haystack"
- a mechanism to capture botnet communication traffic in
virtualised environments such as Cloud Service Providers.

why?

- cloud providers are building block for IoT
- a great hosting platform for botnets

interesting built environment:

- tenant isolation, data privacy
- internal infrastructure is an attack surface

#### packet capture

if you want to capture network traffic for threat detection:

use wireshark/tcpdump et al





#### packet capture

Three drawbacks in this scenario:

- port mirroring doubles network bandwidth volumes
- 2) assumes the monitored devices support mirroring
- 3) big fat pipe to send traffic to a 3<sup>rd</sup> party SOC



### history lesson

1980's

Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)

- MIB information is limited, so use syslog
- Syslog is unstructured

1990's

- 1991 IETF proposed packet aggregation into flows
- 1993 Disbanded due to lack of interest
- 1996 Cisco patented NetFlow



#### history lesson

1996 - Cisco patented NetFlow

flow aggregates similar traffic based on an attributes tuple: e.g. 5 field flow tuple: { sIP, dIP, sPort, dPort, protocol }

- PCAP is a phone call
- flow is the phone bill (who, when, how long)

#### flow export architecture



bétprobe

#### history lesson

1996 - Cisco patented NetFlow

2002 - NetFlow v5

2004 - NetFlow v9

NetFlow was designed for application to **network management**, but has limitations when applied to **threat detection**:

- NFv5 has 18 **fixed** fields (only **10** useful!)
- header information ONLY
- transport layer is UDP only
- **no support for:** MPLS, IPv6, VLANs, MAC addresses
- [typically 1:50 sampling rates]

Cisco NFv9 supports (most) of these, but is proprietary.

# **IP Flow Information eXport**

2013 - IPFIX the flow export standard (RFC7011 - RFC7015) IPFIX IS A FLOW EXPORT PROTOCOL IN ITS OWN RIGHT (not NFv10)

- >> Standards-based: vendor neutrality
- » Extensible: NFv5 fixed template: 18 fields NFv9 - 79 fields (104 if Cisco) IPFIX - 433 Information Elements (IANA)
- » EEs create your own bespoke Enterprise Elements
- » Security: security by design
- » Future-proof: supports IPv6, MPLS and multi-cast

#### botprobe template

Ten				
Template ID (302)	Field			
0_sIP	(8)	Bytes (4)		1
1_dIP	(12)	Bytes (4)		2
4_sPort	(7)	Bytes (2)		5
5_dPort	(11)	Bytes (2)		80
6_protocol	(4)	Bytes (1)		6
20_sTimeMS	(153)	Bytes (8)		18
21_eTimeMS	(154)	Bytes (8)		18
25_packets	(2)	Bytes (8)		7
29_iFlags	(6)	Bytes (1)		8
37_tcpSeq	(184)	Bytes (4)		4
80_collector	(211)	Bytes (4)		19
16_flowKeyHash		Bytes (1)		2!
125_ircTextMessage		variable		
112_httpGet		variable		P
123_httpResponse		variable		20
1_dnsARecord		Bytes (1)		
6_dnsSOARecord		Bytes (4)		
162_smtpHello		variable		
41_sslName		variable		



#### botprobe performance





	рсар	botprobe			
data volumes:	99.4 MB	<b>3.0</b> MB			
<pre>load/analysis:</pre>	172.5 sec	<b>0.2</b> sec			



#### **botnet** detection

repeated 30 botnet experiments:

- » 97% less capture data volume
- » faster capture
- » no change to algorithm feeds

		Gates, et al.	BLINC Karagiannis, et al.	Karasaridis, et al.	RISHI Goebel & Hottz	BOTHUNTER Gu, et al.	BOTSNIFFER Gu, et al.	BOTMINER Gu, et al.	Strayer, et al.	BOTLAB John, et al.	Wurzinger, et al.
Р	ublication Year	2004	2005	2007	2007	2007	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009
1	RC, HTTP, P2P	-	Р	I.	I	I.	ĻΗ	I, H, P	I	н	I, H, P
P = 5	Packet Capture NFv5, 9 = NFv9	5	5	5, P	Р	Р	Р	5, P	5	-	Р
	srcIPv4	~	1	1	~	~	1	1		1	1
s	dstIPv4	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~
lte.	srcPort	~		✓	~	✓	~	~		~	1
ē	dstPort	$\checkmark$		✓	~	✓	~	$\checkmark$		~	~
ŧ	proto	~	$\checkmark$			~	~		~	~	~
ŝ	packetTotal	~	$\checkmark$	~				$\checkmark$	~		~
Ē	byteTotal	~	$\checkmark$	✓				$\checkmark$	~		~
~	TCPFlag	~		✓		~	~		~		
	timeStamp	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~
	flowDirection		$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$			
	flowsTotal			✓							
ţ	1stPacketSize										
Fv5 Attribu	payloadSize										~
	irc_Header			✓	~	√	~				
	http_URL				~		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	~
	http_UserAgent										~
Ę	http_Server										
Ā	http_Response										
_	smtp							~		~	$\checkmark$
	dns							✓		✓	

#### ipfix v pcap



PCAP	IPFIX	so what?		
SPAN mirroring	Inline TAP	mirroring doubles network bandwidth, TAP is more efficient		
dedicated infrastructure	s/w probe on any device	more control over data capture, lower data volumes		
plain Text	encryption, replay protection	security by design, can be sent over internet		
unstructured data	structured data	easier search		
TB data volumes	MB data volumes	97% reduction data volumes		
full packet: payload L3/L7 templates- capture		privacy, lawful inspection.		

#### case studies

further IPFIX templates:

- **botprobe** : botnets
- **smtpprobe** : spam traffic
- httpprobe : malicious http streams
- **iotprobe** : malicious IoT traffic
- **icsprobe** : malicious Industrial Control Systems traffic

if an attribute is present in a packet [header or payload], we can capture it.

#### ipfix capture



- **software** probes
- end-point protection

bétprobe

- increased visibility for fewer probes
- **lower** capture volumes



#### threat detection

three key phases of a cyber attack:

- infection
- detection
- response

average time to detect a cyberattack is 205 days (Gartner, 2016)

the cost of a cyber attack is **reputational**, not just financial.

bétprobe



#### big data challenge

Network Big Data





### big data challenge



**97% reduction** in threat intel. data volumes

- 1) SOC team reacts faster to cyberattacks
- 2) protecting business assets and reputation

#### new opportunities

template extensibility + big data reduction =

- automated mitigation
- legal interception
- pre-event forensics
- pcap indexing [flow indexing]
- new detection algorithms [not just for botnets]



#### we need you...

#### if you are interested in collaboration, We'd love to talk with you:

## adrian.winckles@anglia.ac.uk www.botprobe.co.uk