

# Quality Manual: Approach To Quality Assurance

The University's approach to maintaining baseline quality and standards and enhancing the student experience are outlined in this document.

## Contents

1. WHAT IS QUALITY AND STANDARDS?.....	2
2. AN OVERVIEW OF THE KEY GOVERNANCE FOR QUALITY AND STANDARDS.....	3
3. THE UNIVERSITY'S APPROACH TO QUALITY AND STANDARDS: CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT .....	3

## 1. WHAT IS QUALITY AND STANDARDS?

- 1.1. The terms 'quality and standards' covers two interrelated areas.
- 1.2. **Standards** is ensuring that the University's academic provision meets the standards that are prescribed both by external frameworks and by the University's own commitments as an autonomous body with degree-awarding powers.
- 1.3. The concept of threshold academic standards has been extended by the Office for Students [OfS] in recent years to include the academic standards of degree classifications. The objectives that relate to standards are as follows:
  - 1.3.1. ensure that awareness and compliance with standards is embedded across the University;
  - 1.3.2. ensure the University's portfolio of courses meets the requirements of the University's framework for the delivery of standards and enables standards to be met;
  - 1.3.3. ensure that the University's academic regulations are clear, explicit, unambiguous and accessible, and support academic standards;
  - 1.3.4. operate a robust external examiner system;
  - 1.3.5. engage with external regulatory bodies, especially the OfS, as appropriate.
- 1.4. **Quality** is ensuring that students receive an excellent student experience in general and one that enables a student to meet the academic standards of the University in particular. Quality is a shared activity delivered by the whole University. The partnership between the Quality and Standards Office [QSO] and Learning and Teaching Enhancement [LTE] is fundamental to the delivery of high-quality academic education. Specific objectives related to quality are as follows:
  - 1.4.1. work with colleagues across the University, including staff in Faculties, LTE, Registry and the Education and Student Experience Directorate to create an effective quality community;
  - 1.4.2. ensure that quality is not seen as a central activity emanating from QSO, that all colleagues understand the expectations and procedures of Quality and Standards and are empowered to contribute to the strategic delivery of quality;
  - 1.4.3. make an appropriate contribution to the design, approval and review of the academic portfolio and its component courses, including that delivered through academic partnerships;
  - 1.4.4. ensure that partnership work is undertaken with all due diligence.
- 1.5. In pursuing the effective governance of quality and standards, the Quality and Standards Office will:
  - 1.5.1. maintain a strategic focus on outcomes, including their measurement and progress;
  - 1.5.2. ensure that quality structures enable LTE to be the main driver of high-quality academic education;

- 1.5.3. seek to deliver the continuous improvement of student outcomes and the elimination of any critical attainment gaps;
- 1.5.4. enable staff to focus on outcomes when they design and review the academic portfolio;
- 1.5.5. ensure processes are fit-for-purpose and designed to enable staff to maximise the time they spend on student learning.


## 2. AN OVERVIEW OF THE KEY GOVERNANCE FOR QUALITY AND STANDARDS

- 2.1. The University Academic Board has set out clear expectations and a structure for the development and approval of regulations, policy, procedures and quality assurance. Academic Board has established a committee structure to ensure oversight and scrutiny of all aspects of this and to carry out any functions delegated by Academic Board.
- 2.2. You can find out more about Academic Board and its committees, including those with specific responsibility for quality and standards, [here](#).
- 2.3. There is a systematic structure of reporting, monitoring and review to ensure high-quality course delivery. This is regularly reviewed and updated to ensure effectiveness. This includes mechanisms for both overall assurance, regular monitoring and escalation or intervention if this is needed.
- 2.4. These structures take account, where appropriate, of the key Professional Statutory and Regulatory Bodies (PSRB) requirements for the University's extensive range of PSRB accredited courses, ensuring a robust role for service users and practice partners at key points. The procedures allow for responsive action where required.

## 3. THE UNIVERSITY'S APPROACH TO QUALITY AND STANDARDS: CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

- 3.1. The Academic Board, through its Education and Student Experience Committee, the Academic Strategy Committee and the University Portfolio Performance Committee, oversees the continuous improvement approach to quality and standards. This includes the monitoring and evaluation of key elements of quality management, including:
  - 3.1.1. continuous monitoring of courses through Course Performance Plans (CPP);
  - 3.1.2. an annual summary report on the conduct of quality matters where authority is delegated to Faculties;
  - 3.1.3. an annual summary report on activity and risk related to PSRB provision;
  - 3.1.4. an annual report from each Faculty on the External Examiner system and Module Achievement Boards.
- 3.2. The University's approach to the annual monitoring of courses is one of continuous improvement. This shifts the process of course development from one of static, once a year planning, to one which constantly evaluates, reflects and makes improvements in light of course performance and feedback from both students and staff throughout the academic year and the course approval cycle. Monitoring takes place through regular Board of Studies meetings.

### Table 1: Key Structures for QA Oversight, Monitoring and Action for Course Performance



Group	Responsibility	Relationship with Other Committees Enabling Escalation
<b>University Portfolio Performance Committee</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consider Key Performance Metrics (OfS, APP, TEF, NSS)</li> <li>Approve the Course Performance Plan (CPP) template</li> <li>Set the institutional priorities &amp; relevant institutional targets for the CPP</li> <li>Capture and share success and best practice</li> <li>Strategic prioritisation of underperforming courses</li> <li>Review of CPPs for those courses, agreeing strategic interventions, monitoring of those courses</li> <li>Oversight of CPP sign off process across the institution– selective audit of CPPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reports to Academic Strategy Committee</li> <li>Supported by Sub-Committees as required (Course Metrics, TEF, Learning &amp; Teaching etc)</li> </ul>
<b>Faculty Portfolio Performance Executive</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Scrutinise and sign off all Faculty CPPs</li> <li>Approve Course Performance Plan targets at course level</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In relation to continuous improvement monitoring/ reporting for FQC and other Faculty committees</li> </ul>
<b>Boards of Studies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Agree CPP including course specific performance targets</li> <li>Monitor progress and adjust actions accordingly</li> </ul>	

3.3. This is supported by:

3.3.1. Heads of Schools Annual School Portfolio Performance Report to the Faculty Quality Committee (FQC), identifying short-medium and long-term enhancement strategies to address areas of poor performance and to identify any issues that require further action by the University.

3.3.2. Faculty Quality Committee considers the reports and monitors whether schools are making suitable progress. FQC utilise outcomes from school reports to inform the wider strategies for monitoring and enhancing portfolio performance.

3.3.3. Faculty Annual Portfolio Report to University’s Quality Monitoring Review Sub-Committee (QMRSC) to confirm the faculty’s strategic priorities for monitoring and improving portfolio performance.

3.3.4. QMRSC report to Education and Student Experience Committee identifying any institution-wide issues or areas of good / innovative practice.

3.4. Evaluation of activities is integrated and reported to the Academic Board through a number of mechanisms. This includes an Annual Report to Academic Board and the Governing Body on the *Maintenance of Academic Standards and the Management and Enhancement of the Student Learning Experience*.

Document Information	Description of Document Information
<b>Document Title</b>	Quality Manual: Approach to Quality Assurance
<b>Department Owner</b>	Quality and Standards Office
<b>Date of Commencement</b>	2021
<b>Review Date</b>	June 2024
<b>Version</b>	2
<b>History of revisions of the Document</b>	Version 2, approved by Quality Monitoring and Review Sub Committee, DATE Version 1, approved by Quality Monitoring and Review Sub Committee, 2021
<b>Web Address</b>	<a href="https://www.canterbury.ac.uk/quality-and-standards-office/regulations-policies-and-procedures/quality-manual.aspx">https://www.canterbury.ac.uk/quality-and-standards-office/regulations-policies-and-procedures/quality-manual.aspx</a>